

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/782,852	02/23/2004	Takahiro Goto	Q79959	5491	
23373 SUGHRUE M	7590 07/11/2008 HON, PLLC	EXAM	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYL VANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EOFF, ANCA		
SUITE 800 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20037	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/11/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/782,852	GOTO, TAKAHIRO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ANCA EOFF	1795	
ANGA EOFF	1795	

	744O/TEO/T	1750	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 30 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS API	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of App for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 operiods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I</li> </ul>	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL.	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
<ul> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	tter form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	):		
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		be entered and an e	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	vided below of appended.		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.10.13.14 and 16-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	it before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Appeal will not	be entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after en	ntry is below or attach	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered busee Continuation Sheet.	at does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
13. 🔲 Other:			
/Cynthia H Kelly/	/Anca Eoff/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795	Examiner, Art Unit 1795		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In regard to the rejection of claims 1, 10, 13-14, 16-18 and 20-24 under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Aoshima et al. (EP 1 235 107) in view of Aoshima et al. (US Patent 5,741, 619) and in further view of Iwamoto et al (US Patent 5,866,298), the applicant argues that Aoshima et al. (EP 107) relates to a planographic printing plate while Iwamoto et al. relates to a color filter, which is not required to have such high durability as a printing plate. The applicant further argues that if the organic acid of Iwamoto et al. would be added to a composition for printing plates, the durability of the resulting printing plate would be decreased. However, the applicant is not showing any evidence in support of this argument.

The examiner would like to show the following: Aoshima (EP '107) teach a photopolymerizable composition comprising A) a polymerizable compound having at least one radical polymerizable ethylenically unsaturated double bond in the molecule, B) a radical polymerizable initiator and C) a binder polymer (abstract, par.0010). The polymerizable compound A) is a radical-polymerizable compound having at least one, preferably two or more ethylenically unsaturated double bonds in the molecule (par.0015). The radical polymerization initiator may be a system comprising a hexaarylbisimidazole (par.0025). The binder C) is preferably a polymer having a carboxylic acid in the side chain thereof, to enable development in water (par.0035)

Aoshima et al. further disclose that the photopolymerizable composition is coated on a support (par.0066) and it is imaged/exposed using various light sources, such as UV light, electron rays, X rays (par.0078-0079) then is developed with an alkaline aqueous solution (par.0081).

photopolymerization initiator D) (abstract). The binder polymer B) is preferably a copolymer made from a monomer mixture which contains an ethylenically unsaturated monomer with at least one carboxyl group (column 5, lines 4-12). The photopolymerization initiator D) contains as essential compound at least one bimidiazole compound of column 7, lines 60-61) and one or more additives, such as other photo-radical generator, a sensitizer agent, a curing promoter may be used together with the bimidazole (column 10, lines 7-17).

lwamoto et al. disclose a radiation sensitive composition comprising a binder polymer B), a polyfunctional monomer C) and a

Iwamoto et al. further disclose that the radiation sensitive composition is coated on a substrate, is exposed throgh a mask using radiation such as UV rays, electron beams, X rays and it is developed with alkaline solution (column 15, lines 12-54).

In the light of the facts shown above, it is the examiner's position that one of ordinary skill in the art would readility notice the similarities between the photosensitive composition of Aoshima (EP 107) and Iwamoto et al. and would have the motivation to combine their teachings.

Furthermore, Iwamoto et al. teach that an organic acid F) may be added to the radiation sensitive composition to improve the solubility ion an alkaline developing solution and reduce residual insoluble matters after developemnt when the binder polyner B) is a carboxyl-containing polymer (column 13, line 64-column 14, line 4). One of ordinary skill in the art would see the benefit of including such a compound in the photopolymerizable composition of Aoshima (EP '107), which comprises a binder with carboxyl groups in the side chain (par.0035) and it is developed in alkaline acqueue, solutions (pag. 0081).

The applicant further argues that Aoshima et al. (US Patent 5,741,619) do not use a polymerizable compound having at least one thylenically unsaturated double bond in the working examples and concludes that Aoshima has a different image formation mechanism than Aoshima (EP 107) so one of ordinary skill in the art would not be motivated to combine their teachings. The applicant further argues that a binder polymer wherein R2 is a chain structure is not used in the working examples but merely described in the specification of Aoshima et al.

Aoshima et al. disclose a negative-woking image recording material (abstract), said material comprising as binder material, an alkali-soluble binder which is easily removed by development with an alkali aqueous solution after exposure, such as an acytic binder (column 4, lines 35-42) The acrylic resins are obtained by polymerizing at least one radical-polymerizable monomer of group A) with at least one radical polymerizable monomer selected from the groups B) and C) (column 9, lines 44-49), Group A) comprise monomers equivalent to the monomers of the binder of the instant application. While binders comprising such monomers are not shown in the working examples, they are clearly disclosed by Aoshima et al. so one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to use such monomers for an alkali-soluble binder.

The composition for the negative-working image recording material may also comprise a polyfunctional monomer having two or more radical polymerizable ethylenica double bonds in the molecule (column 12, lines 17-27). While Aoshima et al. do not give working examples of compositions including such polyfunctional monomers, it is very well-known the the art that such monomers are used in negative-working compositions.